

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,640		12/02/2004	Khim Hong Ng	3225-102	1877	
6449	759	0 04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
	-	FIGG, ERNST & M	DANG, PHUC T			
1425 K ST SUITE 80		, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2818		
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$1.\mathcal{U}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- v			
	10/510,640	NG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHUC T. DANG	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Pre-A</u>	Amendment filed October 8, 2004	<u>4</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-12 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat nity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	je			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 100804	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:)			

Application/Control Number: 10/510,640 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is a 371 of PCT/SG03/00290 filed on December 23, 2003.

Preliminary Amendment

2. Preliminary Amendment filed on October 8, 2004 has been considered.

In Preliminary Amendment, Applicants amended claims 5-12.

Claims 1-12 are currently pending in the application.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath/declaration filed on December 2, 2004 is acceptable.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The office acknowledges receipt of the following items from the applicant:

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on October 8, 2004.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following reasons:

In claim 1, insert "a) through e)" for each limitation because on lines 13-14, step d) and step d) are indefinite. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ying et al., hereinafter "Ying" (U.S. Patent No. 6,300,252 B1).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Ying discloses an apparatus and a method for etching fuse windows through a passivation layer and at least two inter-metal dielectric layers comprising:

- a) first etching at least a first set of material from a first region of the semiconductor device 42, from the surface of the first set of material in towards the embedded fuse 46;
- (b) measuring the remaining distance between the embedded fuse and the first etched surface of the first region of the semiconductor device 42;
- (c) second etching an amount of the second set of material from the first region of the semiconductor device 42, from the first etched surface of the first region further in towards the embedded fuse 46, the amount of the second set of material being determined based on the preceding measurement of the remaining distance;
- (d) measuring the remaining distance between the embedded fuse and the second etched surface of the first region of the semiconductor device 42; and

Ying discloses the features of the claimed invention as discussed above, but does not disclose a step of determining if the remaining distance measured in step (d) falls within a desired range of distances and, if the remaining distance does not fall within the desired range, returning to step (c). Ying, however, discloses a step of controlling the etching process for each step until reaching to the a certain range for a purpose of improving the fuse window as desired as cited on col. 7, lines 51-67].

Therefore, claim 1 is obviously rendered over Ying.

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claim 2, Ying discloses wherein the first etching further etches at least an amount of the second set of material [Figs. 3-5].

Regarding claim 3, Ying discloses wherein one of the first and second sets of material comprises at least a passivating layer and the other of the first and second sets of material comprises at least one oxide layer [col. 7, lines 10-12].

Regarding claim 4, Ying discloses wherein the first set of material comprises the passivating layer [col. 7, lines 10-12].

Regarding claim 5, Ying discloses the other of the first and second set of material comprising at least one oxide layer further comprises at least a second oxide layer [col. 7, lines 10+].

Regarding claim 7, Ying discloses wherein the passivating layer comprises a nitride layer [col. 7, lines 10-12].

Regarding claim 9, Ying discloses wherein the fuse is a metal fuse [col. 1, line 59].

Regarding claims 10-11, Ying discloses the fuse is a laser blowing fuse [col. 3, lines 45-49].

7. Ying discloses the claimed invention except for the process parameters as claimed in claim 8. However, the selection of the claimed process parameters would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the desired range of distances within the claimed range, since it is well settle that when the general conditions of a claim are discloses in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,640 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

Allowable Subject Matter

8. The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the Prior art of record does not disclose the other of the first and second sets of material comprising at least one oxide layer has uniform diffraction characteristics.

Claim 7 is depend on claim 6, then ,it also would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ikeda (U.S. Patent No. 6,194,318 B1) and Liao (U.S. patent No. 6,174,753).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am-5:00 pm.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and Final communications.
- 12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Art Unit: 2818

Langghun Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818